The Lanczos Method

Erik Koch – GRS Jülich



Why Lanczos?

- Intervention
 Intervention
- ☑ efficient for sparse Hamiltonians
- ✓ ground state (T=0) or finite (but low) temperature
- ☑ spectral function on real axis
- Only finite (actually quite small) systems
 - O efficient parallelization to use shared memory
 - O optimal bath parametrization

steepest descent

energy functional

$$E[\Psi] = rac{\langle \Psi | H | \Psi
angle}{\langle \Psi | \Psi
angle}$$

direction (in Hilbert space) of steepest ascent

$$\frac{\delta E[\Psi]}{\delta \langle \Psi|} = \frac{H|\Psi\rangle - E[\Psi]|\Psi\rangle}{\langle \Psi|\Psi\rangle} = |\Psi_a\rangle \in \operatorname{span}\left(|\Psi\rangle, H|\Psi\rangle\right)$$

minimize energy in span $(|\Psi\rangle, H|\Psi\rangle)$

iterate!

convergence



minimize on span $(|\Psi_0\rangle, H|\Psi_0\rangle)$ to obtain $|\Psi_1\rangle$ minimize on span $(|\Psi_1\rangle, H|\Psi_1\rangle) \in \text{span}(|\Psi_0\rangle, H|\Psi_0\rangle, H^2|\Psi_0\rangle)$ minimize on span $(|\Psi_2\rangle, H|\Psi_2\rangle) \in \text{span}(|\Psi_0\rangle, H|\Psi_0\rangle, H^2|\Psi_0, H^3|\Psi_0\rangle)$ etc.

instead of *L*-fold iterative minimization on two-dimensional subspaces minimize energy on *L*+1 dimensional **Krylov space**

$$\mathcal{K}^{L}(\Psi_{0}\rangle) = \operatorname{span}\left(|\Psi_{0}\rangle, H|\Psi_{0}\rangle, H^{2}|\Psi_{0}\rangle, \ldots, H^{L}|\Psi_{0}\rangle\right)$$

more variational degrees of freedom \Rightarrow even faster convergence

convergence to ground state



Lanczos iteration



Thursday, October 6, 2011

Lanczos algorithm

```
v=init
b0=norm2(v)
scal(1/b0,v)
w=0
w = w + H * v
a[0] = dot(v, w)
axpy(-a[0],v,w)
b[1]=norm2(w)
for n=1, 2, ...
  if abs(b[n])<eps then exit
  scal(1/b[n],w)
  scal( -b[n],v)
  swap(v,w)
  w = w + H * v
  a[n] = dot(v, w)
  axpy(-a[n],v,w)
  b[n+1] = norm2(w)
  diag(a[0]..a[n], b[1]..b[n])
  if converged then exit
end
```

not part of tridiagonal matrix $\mathbf{v} = |v_0\rangle$

 $w = H |v_0\rangle$

$$\mathbf{w} = |\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_1\rangle = H|\mathbf{v}_0\rangle - a_0|\mathbf{v}_0\rangle$$

invariant subspace $w = |v_n\rangle$ $v = -b_n |v_{n-1}\rangle$

 $w = H |v_n\rangle - b_n |v_{n-1}\rangle$ a[n] = $\langle v_n | H | v_n \rangle - b_n \langle v_n | v_{n-1} \rangle$ w = $|\tilde{v}_{n+1}\rangle$

getting a_{n+1} needs another $H|v\rangle$

convergence to ground state



overconvergence: ghost states



 $b_{n+1}|v_{n+1}\rangle = H|v_n\rangle - a_n|v_n\rangle - bn|v_{n-1}\rangle$

resolvent / spectral function

$$G_{c}(z) = \left\langle \Psi_{c} \left| \frac{1}{z - H} \right| \Psi_{c} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\langle \Psi_{c} | \Psi_{n} \rangle \langle \Psi_{n} | \Psi_{c} \rangle}{z - E_{n}} \right.$$
$$\check{G}_{c}(z) = \left\langle \Psi_{c} \left| \frac{1}{z - \check{H}_{c}} \right| \Psi_{c} \right\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{L} \frac{\langle \Psi_{c} | \check{\Psi}_{n} \rangle \langle \check{\Psi}_{n} | \Psi_{c} \rangle}{z - \check{E}_{n}} \right.$$
$$\left. \left. \left(\frac{z - a_{0}}{-b_{1}} \left| \frac{z - a_{1}}{z - a_{1}} \right| \frac{b_{2}}{-b_{2}} \left| \frac{z - a_{2}}{z - a_{2}} \right| \frac{b_{3}}{z - a_{3}} \cdots \frac{b_{n}}{z - b_{n}} \right.$$
$$\left. \left. \left(\frac{z - a_{0}}{-b_{1}} \right| \frac{z - a_{1}}{z - a_{1}} \right) \left| \frac{b_{2}}{z - a_{2}} \right| \frac{b_{2}}{z - a_{3}} \cdots \frac{b_{n}}{z - \check{E}_{n}} \right.$$

resolvent / spectral function

$$z - \check{H}_c = \begin{pmatrix} z - a_0 & B^{(1)T} \\ B^{(1)} & z - \check{H}_c^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

inversion by partitioning

$$\left[(z - \check{H}_c)^{-1} \right]_{00} = \left(z - a_0 - B^{(1)^T} (z - \check{H}_c^{(1)})^{-1} B^{(1)} \right)^{-1}$$
$$= \left(z - a_0 - b_1^2 \left[(z - \check{H}_c^{(1)})^{-1} \right]_{00} \right)^{-1}$$

$$\check{G}_{c}(z) = \left[(z - \check{H}_{c})^{-1} \right]_{00} = \frac{1}{z - a_{0} - \frac{b_{1}^{2}}{z - a_{1} - \frac{b_{2}^{2}}{z - a_{2} - \cdots}}}$$

convergence: moments



 $\omega - \mu$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \, \omega^m \check{A}(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{L} |\check{\psi}_{n,0}|^2 \check{E}_n^m = \sum_{n=0}^{L} \langle \Psi_c | \check{\Psi}_n \rangle \langle \check{\Psi}_n | \Psi_c \rangle \, \check{E}_n^m = \langle \Psi_c | \check{H}^m | \Psi_c \rangle$$

application to Hubbard model and shared-memory parallelization

dimension of many-body Hilbert space

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}$$

solve finite clusters	M	N_{\uparrow}	N_{\downarrow}	dimension of Hilbert space	memory
	2	1	1	4	
	4	2	2	36	
	6	3	3	400	
	8	4	4	4 900	
	10	5	5	63 504	
	12	6	6	853 776	6 MB
	14	7	7	11 778 624	89 MB
$\dim(H) = \begin{pmatrix} M \\ M \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} M \\ M \end{pmatrix}$	16	8	8	165 636 900	1 263 MB
$\left(N_{\uparrow} \right) \left(N_{\downarrow} \right)$	18	9	9	2 363 904 400	18 GB
	20	10	10	34 134 779 536	254 GB
	22	11	11	497 634 306 624	3708 GB
	24	12	12	7 312 459 672 336	53 TB

choice of basis

real space: sparse Hamiltonian

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}$$

hopping only connects states of same spin interaction diagonal (even for long-range interaction!)

$$k\text{-space}$$
$$H = \sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} + \frac{U}{M} \sum_{k,k',q} c_{k\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{k-q,\uparrow} c_{k'\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{k'+q,\downarrow}$$

choice of basis

work with operators that create electrons in Wannier orbitals

$$|\{n_{i\sigma}\}\rangle = \prod_{i=0}^{L-1} \left(c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}\right)^{n_{i\downarrow}} \left(c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger}\right)^{n_{i\uparrow}} |0\rangle$$

${\tt m}_{\uparrow}$	bits	state	\mathtt{i}_\uparrow	${ m m}_{\downarrow}$	bits	state	i↓	0		+	+	(0,0)
0	000			0	000			. 1	+		+	(0,1)
1	001			1	001	$c^{\dagger}_{0\downarrow} 0 angle$	0	2	1	+	+	(0,2)
2	010			2	010	$c_{1\downarrow}^{\dagger} 0\rangle$	1	3		+ -	<u> </u>	(1,0)
3	011	$c^{\dagger}_{0\uparrow}c^{\dagger}_{1\uparrow} 0 angle$	0	3	011	- •		1	_ †			(1 1)
4	100			4	100	$c_{2\perp}^{\dagger} 0\rangle$	2	4		→ ↓		(1,1)
5	101	$c^{\dagger}_{0\uparrow}c^{\dagger}_{2\uparrow} 0 angle$	1	5	101	~~~ ,		5	+			(1,2)
6	110	$c^{\dagger}_{1\uparrow}c^{\dagger}_{2\uparrow} 0 angle$	2	6	110			6	\rightarrow	+	1	(2,0)
7	111			7	111			7	+↓		+	(2,1)
								8		+		(2,2)

sparse matrix-vector product



sparse matrix-vector product: OpenMP

```
w = w + Hv \qquad \qquad H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} t_{i,j} c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}
```

```
subroutine wpHtruev(U, v,w)
c --- full configurations indexed by k=(kdn-1)+(kup-1)*Ndnconf+1
!$omp parallel do private(kdn,k,i,lup,ldn,l,D)
       do kup=1,Nupconf
                                                                                          U\sum_{i}n_{i,\uparrow}n_{i,\downarrow}
          do kdn=1,Ndnconf
            k=(kdn-1)+(kup-1)*Ndnconf+1
            w(k)=w(k)+U*Double(kup,kdn)*v(k)
          enddo
          do i=1,upn(kup)
            lup=upi(i,kup)
            do kdn=1,Ndnconf
                                                                                        \sum t_{i,j} c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma}
               k=(kdn-1)+(kup-1)*Ndnconf+1
               l=(kdn-1)+(lup-1)*Ndnconf+1
               w(k)=w(k)+upt(i,kup)*v(1)
                                                                                       \langle ij \rangle, \sigma = \uparrow
            enddo
          enddo
          do kdn=1,Ndnconf
            k=(kdn-1)+(kup-1)*Ndnconf+1
            do i=1,dnn(kdn)
                                                                                        \sum t_{i,j} C_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} C_{i,\sigma}
               ldn=dni(i,kdn)
               l=(ldn-1)+(kup-1)*Ndnconf+1
                                                                                       \langle ij \rangle, \sigma = \downarrow
               w(k)=w(k)+dnt(i,kdn)*v(1)
            enddo
          enddo
       enddo
       end
```

OpenMP on Jump





distributed memory

MPI-2: one-sided communication



Hubbard model

$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle, \sigma} t_{i,j} c_{j,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i,\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i,\uparrow} n_{i,\downarrow}$$



Idea: matrix transpose of v(i_{\downarrow} , i_{\uparrow})



Lanczos-vector as matrix: $v(i_{\downarrow}, i_{\uparrow})$

before transpose: ↓-hops local after transpose: ↑-hops local

implementation:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{MPI_alltoall} & (N_{\downarrow} = N_{\uparrow}) \\ \mathsf{MPI_alltoallv} & (N_{\downarrow} \neq N_{\uparrow}) \end{array}$

Implementation on IBM BlueGene/P



Adv. Parallel Computing **15**, 601 (2008)

performance on full Jugene?

File Options Step 60 s 👅 active 🏂 Search gr	rs30002 Last Update 02/10/11-10:16:26 next in 47 s Source WWW Help
Nodes <u>Running Waiting</u>	used: 100% 29491 1'6 3'2 4'8 6'4 8'0 9'6 112 12'8 144 i obs (run/wait): CPUSUserid Classbookfodestasksmodetorus cpub wall TEnd
\$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 \$112240 <t< td=""><td>1. 294912 grs30002 m144 2304 73728 294912 s</td></t<>	1. 294912 grs30002 m144 2304 73728 294912 s
	1 56
	Construint Constru
	Class: m144 (n54-H0, 512) (n55-H0, 512)
EXCENSE EXCENSE <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
X10-210 III IX11-210 III IX12-210 III X112-210 III IX14-210 IIII IX12-210 IIII XX12-210 IIIII XX12-210 IIII XX12-2	Oldstate

performance on full Jugene!



speed up

performance on full Jugene!



DMFT and optimal bath-parametrization

reminder: single-site DMFT

Hubbard model

$$H = -\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$

(l) (l)

$$c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} = \sum e^{ikr_i} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \Rightarrow H(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k})$$

project to single site: $\int d\mathbf{k} H(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_0$

 $H_{\rm loc} = \epsilon_0 + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$



bath parametrization

$$G_{b}^{-1}(\omega) = G_{\text{loc}}^{-1}(\omega) + \Sigma(\omega) = \omega + \mu - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega' \, \frac{\Delta(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'}$$

$$G_{\text{And}}^{-1}(\omega) = \omega + \mu - \sum_{I=1}^{N_b} \frac{V_I^2}{\omega - \varepsilon_I}$$

how to determine bath parameters ε_{l} and V_{l} ? $H_{And}^{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{1} & V_{2} & V_{3} & \cdots \\ V_{1} & \varepsilon_{1} & 0 & 0 & \\ V_{2} & 0 & \varepsilon_{2} & 0 & \\ V_{3} & 0 & 0 & \varepsilon_{3} & \\ \vdots & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$ $H_{And} = \varepsilon_{0} \sum n_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} + \sum \sum \sum \left(\varepsilon_{l} n_{l\sigma} + V_{l} \left(a_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\sigma} + c_{\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{l\sigma} \right) \right)$

use Lanczos parameters

Bethe lattice:
$$\int d\omega' \frac{\Delta(\omega')}{\omega - \omega'} = t^2 G_{imp}(\omega)$$
$$t^2 G^<(\omega) + t^2 G^>(\omega) = \frac{t^2 b_0^{<2}}{\omega + a_0^< - \frac{b_1^{<2}}{\omega + a_1^< - \cdots}} + \frac{t^2 b_0^{>2}}{\omega - a_0^> - \frac{b_1^{>2}}{\omega - a_1^> - \cdots}}$$



fit on imaginary axis

fictitious temperature: Matsubara frequencies

$$\chi^{2}(\{V_{I},\varepsilon_{I}\}) = \sum_{n=0}^{n_{\max}} w(i\omega_{n}) \left| \mathcal{G}^{-1}(i\omega_{n}) - \mathcal{G}^{-1}_{And}(i\omega_{n}) \right|^{2}$$

weight function $w(i\omega_n)$:

- •emphasize region close to real axis
- •make sum converge for $n \rightarrow \infty$ (sum rule)

reminder: single-site DMFT

Hubbard model

$$H = -\sum_{ij\sigma} t_{ij} c_{j\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$

(l) (l)

$$c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} = \sum e^{ikr_i} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \Rightarrow H(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k})$$

project to single site: $\int d\mathbf{k} H(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_0$

 $H_{\rm loc} = \epsilon_0 + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$



DMFT for clusters

$$c_{\tilde{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} = \sum e^{i\tilde{k}r_i}c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})$$

project to cluster: $\int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) = \mathbf{H}_c$

$$H_{\text{loc}} = \mathbf{H}_{c} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$$

$$\mathbf{G}(\omega) = \int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \left(\omega + \mu - \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{c}(\omega) \right)^{-1}$$
$$\mathbf{G}_{b}^{-1}(\omega) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{c}(\omega) + \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\omega)$$
$$\mathbf{G}_{b}^{-1}(\omega) \approx \omega + \mu - \mathbf{H}_{c} - \mathbf{\Gamma} [\omega - \mathbf{E}]^{-1} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{\dagger}$$
$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{And}} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{loc}} + \sum_{lm,\sigma} \mathcal{E}_{lm,\sigma} a_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{m\sigma} + \sum_{li,\sigma} \Gamma_{li} \left(a_{l\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right)$$
$$\mathbf{\Sigma}_{c}(\omega) = \mathbf{G}_{b}^{-1}(\omega) - \mathbf{G}_{c}^{-1}(\omega)$$



3-site cluster

$$\mathbf{H}(\tilde{k}) = -t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\tilde{k}} & e^{-i\tilde{k}} \\ e^{-i\tilde{k}} & 0 & e^{i\tilde{k}} \\ e^{i\tilde{k}} & e^{-i\tilde{k}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 0 0 • • • • 0 0 0
$$\mathbf{H}_{c} = \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} d\tilde{k} \, \mathbf{H}(\tilde{k}) = -\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

translation symmetry coarse-grained Hamiltonian



CDMFT

$$\mathbf{H}(\tilde{k}) = -t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{i\tilde{k}} & e^{-i\tilde{k}} \\ e^{-i\tilde{k}} & 0 & e^{i\tilde{k}} \\ e^{i\tilde{k}} & e^{-i\tilde{k}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\mathbf{O} \quad \mathbf{O} \quad \mathbf{O$

translation symmetry coarse-grained Hamiltonian no translation symmetry original Hamiltonian on cluster

$$\mathbf{H}(\tilde{k}) = -t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & e^{-3i\tilde{k}} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ e^{3i\tilde{k}} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{H}_{c} = \frac{3}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi/3}^{\pi/3} d\tilde{k} \, \mathbf{H}(\tilde{k}) = -t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

DCA – CDMFT



$$\begin{split} \tilde{c}_{\mathsf{R}_{i}\sigma}^{\mathsf{CDMFT}}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) &= \sum_{\tilde{r}} e^{-i\tilde{k}\tilde{r}} c_{\tilde{r}+\mathsf{R}_{i},\sigma} \\ \tilde{c}_{\mathsf{R}_{i}\sigma}^{\mathsf{DCA}}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) &= \sum_{\tilde{r}} e^{-i\tilde{k}(\tilde{r}+\mathsf{R}_{i})} c_{\tilde{r}+\mathsf{R}_{i},\sigma} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{gauge determines} \\ \textbf{cluster method:} \end{array} \quad \tilde{c}_{\mathsf{R}_i\sigma}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{\tilde{r}} e^{-i(\tilde{k}\tilde{r} + \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\tilde{k};\mathsf{R}_i))} c_{\tilde{r}+\mathsf{R}_i,\sigma} \end{array}$$

Thursday, October 6, 2011

bath for cluster

$$H_{\text{And}} = H_{\text{clu}} + \sum_{Im,\sigma} E_{Im,\sigma} a^{\dagger}_{I\sigma} a_{m\sigma} + \sum_{Ii,\sigma} \Gamma_{Ii} \left(a^{\dagger}_{I\sigma} c_{i\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \right)$$

diagonalize bath: $\mathbf{E} \phi_I = \varepsilon_I \phi_I$ and define $V_{I,i} = \sum_m \Gamma_{i,m} \phi_{I,m}$

$$\mathbf{G}_{b}^{-1}(\omega) \approx \omega + \mu - \mathbf{H}_{c} - \sum_{l} \frac{\mathbf{V}_{l} \mathbf{V}_{l}^{\dagger}}{\omega - \varepsilon_{l}}$$
$$\mathbf{G}_{b}^{-1}(\omega) = \mathbf{\Sigma}_{c}(\omega) + \left(\int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \left(\omega + \mu - \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) - \mathbf{\Sigma}_{c}(\omega)\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$$

expand up to $1/\omega^2$: sum-rule

$$\sum_{l} \mathbf{V}_{l} \mathbf{V}_{l}^{\dagger} = \int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{H}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) - \left(\int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})\right)^{2}$$

hybridization sum-rules: single-site

H with hopping t_n to the $z_n n^{th}$ -nearest neighbors

$$\sum_{l} V_{l}^{2} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d^{d} \mathbf{k} \, \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} = \sum_{n} z_{n} \, t_{n}^{2}$$

special case: Bethe lattice of coordination z with hopping t/\sqrt{z}

$$\sum_{l} V_{l}^{2} = t^{2}$$

hybridization sum-rules: DCA

hybridizations diagonal in the cluster-momenta K:

$$\sum_{I} |V_{I,\mathrm{K}}|^2 = \int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{K}+\tilde{\mathrm{k}}}^2 - \left(\int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \, \varepsilon_{\mathrm{K}+\tilde{\mathrm{k}}}\right)^2$$

all terms $V_{I,K}$ $V_{I,K'}$ mixing different cluster momenta vanish

hybridization sum-rules: CDMFT

$$\mathbf{H}(\tilde{k}) = -t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & e^{-3i\tilde{k}} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ e^{3i\tilde{k}} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I} \mathbf{V}_{I}^{\dagger} = \int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{H}^{2}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}}) - \left(\int d\tilde{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})\right)^{2} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} t^{2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & t^{2} \end{array}\right)$$



hybridization sum-rules: CDMFT



example: 1-d clusters



	CDMFT	DCA
hybridize	only surface	full cluster
strength	const.	1/N _c ^{2/d}



symmetry of bath

$$\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{array} \right)$$

irreducible representations: A (even), B (odd)

$$\mathbf{W}^{\dagger}\mathbf{G}_{b}^{-1}\mathbf{W} = \begin{pmatrix} G_{b,11}^{-1} + G_{b,13}^{-1} & \sqrt{2}G_{b,12}^{-1} & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}G_{b,21}^{-1} & G_{b,22}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & G_{b,11}^{-1} - G_{b,13}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

block-diagonal



summary

